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Why Does the Cortex Reorganize after Sensory Loss?

Amy Kalia Singh,1 Flip Phillips,2 Lotfi B. Merabet,3 and Pawan Sinha1,*

A growing body of evidence demonstrates that the brain can reorganize dramatically following sensory loss. Although the existence of such neuroplastic crossmodal changes is in doubt, the functional significance of these changes remains unclear. The dominant belief is that reorganization is compensatory. However, results thus far do not unequivocally indicate that sensory deprivation results in markedly enhanced abilities in other senses. Here, we consider alternative reasons besides sensory compensation that might drive the brain to reorganize after sensory loss. One such possibility is that the cortex reorganizes not to confer functional benefits, but to avoid undesirable physiological consequences of sensory deafferentation. Empirical assessment of the validity of this and other possibilities defines a rich program for future research.

Investigating the Teleology of Cortical Reorganization

The functional organization of the brain follows a prototypical schema across most individuals. This comprises a parcellation of sensory inputs from different modalities to distinct cortical regions, which in turn constitute the beginnings of cascades of processing that culminate in higher-order association areas. Of interest to our discussion here are deviations from this norm. Instances of atypical sensory development, specifically involving sensory loss in one or more modalities, can lead to dramatic changes in cortical organization. The primary sensory cortices associated with the missing modality, instead of lying idle, appear to be recruited by other sensory modalities; they come to exhibit responses to stimuli from these encroaching senses. Such reorganization is not only a remarkable demonstration of cortical plasticity, it also suggests that the nervous system follows a strategy to achieve homeostasis — loss of one modality is compensated for by providing additional neural resources to the remaining sensory streams. The accumulated evidence for cortical reorganization and its intuitively appealing explanation as a compensatory process is the starting point for our discussion. We ask whether the extant experimental results obligate us to consider additional accounts of reorganization beyond the conventional one. Given the dramatic extent of reorganization, when it occurs, investigating its teleology promises to yield insights about the basic principles of cortical function, as well as guidance regarding how best to harness or manage these changes for maximal adaptive benefit.

Cortical Reorganization and Its Conventionally Assumed Purpose

There is compelling evidence for cortical reorganization in individuals with sensory loss. The data are especially striking in the domain of blindness. Several studies with human subjects using neuroimaging techniques [such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI); see Glossary] have reported activation of the occipital cortex while blind individuals perform nonvisual tasks such as sound localization [1–3], tactile perception and spatial navigation [4–6], and odor perception [7]. Intriguingly, even higher level cognitive tasks such as language [8–10] and memory processing [11] have also been shown to activate occipital areas in the blind.

Highlights

Neuroimaging studies have revealed that after loss of their primary sensory inputs, cortical areas often come to exhibit responses to inputs from other sensory modalities.

These cortical changes are sometimes, but not always, accompanied by enhancements in behavioral abilities in the encroaching modalities, seemingly to compensate for the missing modality.

We lack a comprehensive account of why cortical reorganization happens after sensory loss. Possibilities besides compensation include unmasking of dormant inputs, and mitigation of potentially harmful physiological changes in deafferented cortical tissue.
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(Figure 1). Although important caution needs to be exercised in assessing the extent of cortical reorganization via neuroimaging [12], evidence for the existence of such changes is generally accepted to be robust. Analogous to results from humans, studies of animals that were visually deprived soon after birth (either by lid suturing or dark rearing) also showed functional reorganization in multisensory areas such as the superior colliculus [13,14], anterior ectosylvian cortex [15,16], and parietal association cortex [17]. In these areas, neurons have been found to be less responsive to visual stimuli, and more responsive to auditory or somatosensory stimuli compared to normally sighted control animals [18,19]. The causal link between sensory deprivation and neural reorganization is strengthened by the observation that the extent and nature of the latter is modulated by the timing of onset of the former [20,21].

Inferences regarding the functional significance of occipital cortex activation in blind individuals during nonvisual processing have come from both clinical and experimental studies. Braille reading abilities of a congenitally blind woman were found to be compromised following stroke-induced bilateral damage to her occipital cortex [25]. In a laboratory setting, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) has served as a means to noninvasively and reversibly disrupt localized cortical activity and observe its impact on behavioral performance. For instance, transient and reversible disruption of occipital cortical areas of blind individuals has been found to causally disrupt their performance on Braille reading [26], auditory localization [27], and even verbal processing [28]. Similar causal linkages between crossmodal neural reorganization and performance have been reported using reversible cortical cooling paradigms [29,30].

Changes in nonvisual task performance following disruption of occipital cortex activity in blind people suggest a compensatory account for explaining cortical reorganization. With access to the large neuronal resources of the ersthwhile visual cortex, modalities such as audition and somatosensation are expected to register significant functional gains that can help compensate, at least partially, for the loss of vision. A similar argument applies for the loss of audition; visual processing may be enhanced by coopting auditory cortex [31–33]. This notion of compensatory enhancement dates at least as far back as Darwin. In The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals he posited that: ‘When we direct our attention to any one sense, its acuteness is increased; and the continued habit of close attention, as with blind people to that of hearing, and with the blind and deaf to that of touch, appears to improve the sense in question permanently.’ [34].

**Glossary**

**Anosmia**: partial or total loss of the sense of smell.

**Apoptosis**: cell death occurring as part of normal growth or development.

**Cortical cooling paradigms**: an experimental technique (such as cryolesions and cryoplasties) used to reversibly deactivate a circumscribed area of the brain for the purposes of establishing causal links between behavior and brain function.

**Ectopic visual input**: abnormal or misdirected visual input.

**Electroencephalography (EEG)**: measures the aggregate of small electrical field currents generated by postsynaptic activity from neurons using electrodes placed on the surface of the scalp.

**Epileptogenic activity**: abnormal neuronal firing patterns (such as in the case of a seizure).

**Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)**: measures localized changes in magnetic susceptibility caused by local oxygenhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin levels (referred to as the blood oxygen level dependent, or BOLD signal). Measured changes within specific regions of the brain are associated with performing a particular task.

**Paroxysmal pattern**: an episodic rather than chronic pattern; in the context of brain activity, often refers to epileptic seizures.

**Resting-state functional connectivity MRI (rsfMRI)**: measures the temporal synchronization of spontaneous fluctuations of the BOLD signal (see fMRI) in the absence of performing an explicit behavioral task. Useful for characterizing large-scale functional network circuits in the brain.

**Sensory substitution device (SSD)**: device that interprets and transforms the characteristics of one sensory modality (e.g., vision) into stimuli that can be perceived by another sensory modality (e.g., touch or hearing).

**Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)**: noninvasive procedure that generates a changing magnetic field in order to induce an electrical current delivered to the brain. By placing a magnetic field generator (or ‘coil’) on the surface of the scalp, the induced electromagnetic current can stimulate underlying nerve cells.
Over the past few decades, several such results with humans have accumulated, constituting a convincing case for functional enhancement in one modality being a consequence of deprivation in another [28,35–47]. For instance, two-point tactile thresholds on the index, middle, and ring fingers of blind individuals are smaller than those in their sighted counterparts, indicative of greater tactile sensitivity [40]. Blind individuals are also reported to have a lower rate of sound localization errors relative to sighted ones [42], and improved noun–verb recall and classification performance [28]. The latter result is attributed to the linguistically evoked activations in the visual cortex of the blind. Figure 2A–C summarizes the data from a few representative studies. Blind individuals have also been reported to be able to use echolocation for exploring the spatial structure of their surroundings [48,49]. Interestingly, neuroimaging studies reveal that echolocation in these individuals is associated with activation of occipital cortex [50], consistent with a compensatory account of the observed cortical functional reorganization.

The link between cortical reorganization and enhanced functioning of nondeprived senses is supported by several studies that have reported functional and anatomical (e.g., gray matter volume) neural covariates of compensatory behavioral adaptations in occipital cortex of blind individuals [51–53]. Similar correlations have been observed in non-human subjects. Investigation of the behavioral consequences of deprivation, specifically of auditory abilities, show that
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**Figure 2.** Performance Comparison of Blind and Sighted Individuals across a Variety of Tasks. (A–C) Examples of tasks in which blind participants show an advantage relative to their sighted counterparts. (D–F) Examples of tasks in which there are either no differences between the two groups or a disadvantage for the blind. Sources: A: [58]; B: [42]; C: [37]; D: [40]; E: [58]; F: [73].
visually deprived cats exhibit more precise sound localization abilities compared to normally sighted cats [54]. Furthermore, visual deprivation also results in significant neural alterations after a period of several years. For example, the auditory portion of anterior ectosylvian cortex, believed to be specialized for sound localization, is enlarged and neurons in this area exhibit sharper spatial tuning compared to neurons in normally sighted cats [55].

The Need to Revisit the Conventional Assumption

In the face of results described above, there seems little reason to rethink the notion of cortical reorganization as a compensatory response that enhances processing of the remaining senses. It makes logical sense for changes in cortical functional organization to serve some adaptive purpose. However, not all cortical reorganization may be beneficial and can be potentially maladaptive. Reorganization of motor cortex in primates and humans correlates with poor recovery from some forms of cortical damage [56]. Sensory systems may suffer a similar fate. As an example, ferret auditory cortex shows marked reorganization as a consequence of ectopic visual input (Y. Mao, PhD thesis, Georgia State University, 2012). However, this reorganization subsequently inhibits the recovery of the original cortical function, suggesting that the role of sensory plasticity also may not always be for the better. Studies of chemosensation, though not extensive so far, also point to limited, if any, compensatory effects. For instance, congenitally blind individuals have been found to have reduced taste sensitivity, and an absence of gustatory crossmodal responses in the occipital cortex [57,58]. Additionally, loss in one chemosensory modality (as in anosmia) often leads to a reduction in sensitivity in the other chemosenses as well [59]. This reduction is especially notable given that anosmic individuals have been reported to exhibited larger responses to chemosensory stimulation in frontal and temporal cortical regions that are typically not involved in chemosensation [60]. Such evidence forces a reconsideration of the functional significance of cortical changes after sensory loss.

It is notable that the enhancement effects typically observed in remaining sensory modalities following loss of one are modest in magnitude and generally become manifest in circumscribed experimental settings. For instance, the reported two-point threshold improvements in congenitally blind subjects averaged 10% in magnitude [40]. Studies of sound localization [42] found no facilitatory effect of blindness on localization accuracy for sound sources in front of the observer (blind individuals actually exhibited a reduction in accuracy relative to the sighted in this condition). It was only for sound sources in the extreme periphery that blind individuals showed a reduction in false-alarm rates. Puzzlingly, this advantage vanished for sources placed entirely to the side. In this condition, blind individuals were no better than the sighted in their response accuracy, with neither approaching ceiling performance. Instead of a generalized enhancement of localization abilities in blind individuals, there appears to be a tradeoff; improvements in sound localization in the horizontal plane are accompanied by a worsening of this ability in the vertical plane [61]. Finally, studies of blind subjects performing a verbal memory task [28] showed about a 10% increase in performance relative to that in sighted controls. It is important to keep in mind the possibility that the extent of improvements exhibited by blind individuals on these tasks may be limited by performance ceilings, derived either from intrinsic biological limitations or simply the theoretical maximum obtainable in a setting. As Figure 2 shows, the level of performance of both the blind and sighted groups across many of these tasks is below the theoretical maximum. However, biologically based ceilings may well be a factor in limiting the gains exhibited by blind individuals.

Although small, these gains are statistically significant and do provide support for the compensatory hypothesis. However, the magnitudes of improvements are potentially pertinent from two perspectives. The first is that the extent of improvements observed is comparable to
enhancements obtained through practice [62,63]. This weakens the case for invoking cross-modal cortical reorganization as a necessary causal factor underlying performance changes. The impressive skills of echolocation that have typically been associated with blindness can, in fact, be learned through practice by sighted observers as well [64]. Relatedly, comparably high levels of Braille reading proficiency have been found in early- and late-blind individuals, even though early-blind subjects showed more widespread reorganization, extending into temporal regions, compared to late-blind subjects [65]. Although late blindness could induce different mechanisms of cortical changes than early blindness, the result argues for a dissociation between functional ability and the extent of reorganization.

The second is the mismatch between the dramatic extent of cortical reorganization observed on the one hand, and the modest functional benefit that accrues on the other hand. Figure 1 shows the large area of putative primary visual cortex (V1) that is activated by audition in blind individuals. A comparison of the extent of these activations and the area of typical primary auditory cortex (A1) [66,67] suggests that the primary sensory cortical area for audition has increased several fold. And yet, the functional consequences of this marked increase are modest at best. Such seeming inefficiency of resource usage poses the question of whether functional enhancement is the primary adaptive purpose of cortical reorganization.

Several lines of evidence in the literature further motivate a re-examination of the notion of functional enhancement as being a necessary/intended consequence of sensory deprivation induced cortical reorganization. Instead of a heightening of acuity, some researchers have found either no changes in performance, or even, in some cases, a worsening (Figure 2D–F shows a few examples). For instance, some recent studies that have observed striking extents of auditory encroachment in the visual cortex (Figure 1), have found no differences in the performance of blind and sighted subjects on a range of tasks including auditory detection, identification, and localization [22,68], echoing earlier findings [69]. Blind individuals were not more sensitive to a target odor, nor more proficient at choosing a correct odor label from a list of four [70]. Several studies with early-blind individuals have reported impairments in auditory spatial localization performance [71,72] and reduced taste sensitivity [58]. Recent reviews have catalogued several instances of nonvisual performance decrement in blind individuals [73]. Similarly, reviews of visual abilities in profoundly deaf individuals indicate that despite evidence of cortical changes, behavioral capabilities may undergo little enhancement [74].

The extant evidence, therefore, suggests that blind individuals may not be supersensors in nonvisual modalities, and may even be worse off than sighted individuals. First-person accounts provide an interesting subjective corroboration of this inference. Here’s a passage from an essay (You Don’t Look Blind) by Laura Legrandy, a blind educator who specializes in disability awareness.

Nearly everywhere I go I am forced to contend with the result of widely-held beliefs about blindness. The single most often repeated myth about blindness is the belief that we have a superior sense of hearing. Let’s clear this up right now. People who are blind do not have bionic hearing. This is a myth. This myth is repeated so often, everyone tends to believe that it must be true. It is not.

Years ago, I was placed in the unfortunate position of having to complain to my apartment manager about my noisy neighbors in the building in which I lived. The apartment manager would do nothing, as he evidently believed the “heightened senses” myth. “Well, you have more sensitive hearing,” he explained, “so they just seem louder to you” (http://accessibleinsights.info/blog/2010/05/01/blogging-against-disabilism-entry-you-dont-look-blind/).

A similar lack of correlation between cortical reassignment and functional outcomes is observed within single modalities. Two examples are especially notable. The first relates to
the classic finding by Wiesel and Hubel (1963) of reorganization in primary visual cortex (V1) of the cat following several weeks of monocular deprivation in infancy [75]. In such cases, the normally balanced division of neuronal resources in V1 is shifted dramatically in favor of the open eye. Interestingly, the profound increase in the neural representation of the nondeprived eye leads to no improvement in its functional capabilities. As a model of human amblyopia, this is analogous to the observation that the fellow eye not only registers no visual enhancements, but may even show compromised function [76]. Notably, the size of primary visual cortex across the population at large varies by a factor estimated to be as large as 2.5 [77], but this does not translate to correspondingly large variations in basic dimensions of visual function such as resolution acuity [78].

The second example comes from studies of somatosensory reorganization following digit amputation. The cortical area normally devoted to a particular finger is taken over by the adjacent digits following removal/silencing of the finger [79,80]. However, this increase in cortical representation for the two adjacent digits is not accompanied by any increase in their tactile sensitivity [81].

Taken together, these findings suggest that while the conventional account of cortical reorganization as a compensatory response to heighten the remaining senses is empirically well founded, it may be worth considering additional possibilities to arrive at a more comprehensive teleological understanding of cortical reorganization.

**Possible Accounts of Cortical Reorganization after Sensory Loss**

Figure 3 schematizes three potential explanations for cortical reorganization. After presenting brief descriptions of each here, in the next section we consider ways in which they may be tested. It is important to note that although the three accounts emphasize conceptually distinct points, they are not mutually exclusive, and may even share some overlaps.

Possibility 1: the Conventional Explanation Constitutes a Full Account but Needs Additional Experimental Evidence to Demonstrate Its Adequacy

Cortical reorganization may well be compensatory (Figure 3A). The reason for the limited empirical support for this possibility, as discussed in the previous section, could be that studies so far may not have focused on the right tasks. For instance, reduced auditory detection thresholds or enhanced localization accuracy, may not be the only, nor the largest, nonvisual behavioral changes in blind individuals. Instead, enhancements may be evident along hitherto unexamined aspects of auditory function that register impressive gains in blind individuals. It is instructive to consider an analogy. Conditions such as hidden hearing loss [82,83] suggest that even a marked change in neural resource allocation might not necessarily influence basic detection thresholds. These empirical observations are supported by predictions from signal detection theory [84].

These enhanced abilities need not be purely perceptual but include attentional or decisional processing as well. Recent studies have shown that blind individuals exhibit enhanced connectivity between frontal and visual cortices, suggesting that activation in visual cortex from nonvisual stimuli may be caused by top-down mechanisms [85,86]. Studies on sighted individuals who are extensively trained on tactile tasks also demonstrate increased connectivity between frontal and subcortical regions associated with motor and decision processes rather than in somatosensory regions [87]. Together, these results suggest that the extensive practice blind individuals have using nonvisual modalities can lead to primary enhancements in executive processing, which can then, secondarily, result in the observed improvements in thresholds and accuracies when performing nonvisual behavioral tasks.
Possibility 2: Reorganization Is Inevitable; It Results from the Unmasking of Connections Already Present, and Thus May not Confer Significant New Behavioral Proficiencies

Recent evidence suggests that even in a healthy brain (i.e., one that has undergone typical sensory development), the so-called primary sensory areas are, in fact, multimodal in nature and receive inputs from more than one nuclei in the thalamus or other primary sensory areas [88–90]. Responses of neurons in one sensory area can be modulated by connections from areas corresponding to other sensory modalities [91,92]. Under normal development, one modality becomes so dominant that the other inputs are not easily evident because they provide inputs at subthreshold levels. However, loss of the primary modality can unmask the normally dormant connections or increase their inputs to suprathreshold levels (Figure 3B). In this framework, the presence of activation from other sensory modalities in a cortical area that does not normally exhibit such responses is not so much evidence for reorganization as the unmasking of circuitry already present.

Support for this idea derives from demonstrations of multi-modal responses in the visual cortices of normally sighted individuals who undergo a period of total visual deprivation lasting merely a few days [93]. The rapid manifestation of such responses appears more consistent
with the unmasking of existing inputs rather than growth of new long-range connections from other cortical or thalamic areas (while long range axonal sprouting is feasible, it typically occurs over longer time courses) [94]. Several animal studies have also yielded evidence consistent with unmasking of existing connections. For instance, there are compelling data consistent with unmasking of connections in the somatosensory cortex following amputation of a digit [80]. Studies examining consequences of reversible sensory deactivation by pharmacological means (using lidocaine injections) in the somatosensory system of adult rats have found immediate and extensive neuronal reorganization in the cortex as well as the thalamus, implicating unmasking of connections as a mechanism [95]. There are reports of immediate enhancements in somatosensory cortical responses in adult rats after spinal-cord transection [96]. Researchers have argued for unmasking of long-range horizontal connections (i.e., pathways supporting inter-areal connections and linking cortical areas) as an account of cortical reorganization in cat V1 following retinal lesions [97,98]. Although the results reported in these studies are intramodal, they indicate the feasibility of unmasking as a mechanism to explain at least some of the reorganization observed in adult cortex.

The consequences of unmasking of connections already present in a cortical area may include behavioral outcomes other than changes in basic sensory thresholds. For instance, if the unmasking is tantamount to changes in the weighting of connections from different sensory modalities, it may affect perceptual reweighting, as discussed in statistical models of sensory combination. Similarly, if unmasking or reweighting occurs between top-down connections, then this hypothesis would posit changes in decisional or attentional behaviors. These different kinds of outcomes also serve to link this possibility with the previous one: the functional significance of cortical reorganization may be best assessed using tests other than those that focus on basic sensory thresholds.

Possibility 3: Reorganization Is Necessary to Avoid Maladaptive Consequences such as Paroxysmal Activity and Cortical Atrophy

Sensory inputs arising from a structured world impose structure on cortical activity. It appears that such structure is important for maintaining the stability of cortical dynamics. A growing body of evidence from non-human animal studies [99,100] shows that even partial afferent signal loss leads to paroxysmal cortical activity that can progress to electrographic seizures. Sensory signal loss, especially early in life, can also lead to other undesirable consequences such as neuronal apoptosis [101–103]. Consistent with this possibility, studies have reported significant thinning in typically centrally responsive primary visual cortex of patients with central vision loss due to macular degeneration, and thickening in the peripherally responsive regions [104].

In this context, it is plausible that the redirection of other sensory modalities towards the input-deprived cortical area may be an attempt to avoid the severe physiological consequences of sensory loss (Figure 3C). The resulting reorganization is not intended to achieve compensatory enhancement, but primarily to stave off potentially harmful changes in cortical dynamics and physiology caused by the absence of normal sensory input.

How Can We Test These Possibilities?
Testing Possibility 1: Formulating a Set of Alternative Tasks on Which to Assess Performance

The first possibility suggests that some of the concerns regarding the strength of the link between crossmodal reorganization and behavior, as reviewed in a preceding section, may be due to a focus on tests that do not probe the abilities where enhancements might actually be
occurring. A direct way to address this issue is to design tests that explore whether blind individuals exhibit improved performance on measures besides perceptual thresholds and low-level sensory sensitivities. For example, tests of reaction times can reveal enhanced speed of processing in tactile or auditory modalities. Electroencephalography (EEG) studies could also be used to evaluate whether event-related potentials corresponding to tactile or auditory stimuli exhibit faster latencies in blind compared to sighted individuals. There is some evidence that signals corresponding to the detection of incongruous words in sentences appear sooner in blind compared to sighted subjects, suggesting that the former process auditory language faster than the latter [105]. It is possible that such latency differences may exhibit a higher correlation than the conventional sensitivity measures with the extent of crossmodal cortical reorganization.

Given the neuroimaging results that blind people exhibit increased connectivity between frontal and visual cortices, another avenue to explore is whether blind people show enhanced executive processing skills, such as in the domains of attention or decision making. It is possible that previous results showing perceptual differences between blind and sighted individuals were an outcome of blind individuals being able to more effectively attend to nonvisual senses. Supporting this view, it is reported that when controlling for perceptual sensitivity, blind individuals indeed show better spatial attention skills compared to sighted individuals [106]. Along related lines, given the evidence that behavioral improvements exhibited by blind individuals in nonvisual modalities might be the result of extensive use rather than blindness itself [107], it would be important to examine whether some quantification of use correlates with extent of cortical reorganization.

According to some accounts [108,109], primary sensory cortices are fundamentally multimodal and designed to compute certain kinds of information (e.g., spatial locations, small scale patterns, or temporal sequences) rather than a single sensory modality per se. However, they become dominated by the sensory modality that most suits a particular function. This point of view suggests that we need to consider tasks that tap into the underlying functional specialization of a given sensory cortical area. For example, the visual cortex may be specialized for spatial information processing. In normally sighted individuals, spatial judgments of tactile stimuli activated visual cortex whereas judgments of textural properties of the same stimuli activate somatosensory cortex [110]. Therefore, auditory and tactile tasks that involve spatial judgments are more likely to show enhancements in blind individuals and may also correlate with the area of activation in visual cortex.

The field of sensory substitution offers a potentially fruitful avenue for exploring several of the issues described above. Briefly, a visual sensory-substitution device (SSD) interprets and transforms spatiotemporal attributes of a visual scene into stimuli perceived by another sensory modality (e.g., touch or hearing) [111]. This strategy has been pursued in the design of assistive technology devices for blind individuals to improve functions such as object identification and obstacle avoidance (e.g., the vOICe visual to audition; Metamodal Inc.) and BrainPort (visual to tactile; Wicab Inc.). Numerous studies using SSDs have typically focused on complex, ecologically relevant perceptual tasks. These might constitute the kinds of dimensions beyond basic sensory thresholds that can reveal the consequences of cortical reorganization. Correlating behavioral performance with neuroimaging derived measures of cortical change would yield valuable data to assess potential causal dependencies between the two [10,22,112,113].

Testing Possibility 2: Exploring Whether Crossmodal Activations after Sensory Loss Arise from Unmasking of Already Present, but Dormant Inputs
If the observed cortical reorganization is a manifestation of already present inputs, the time needed to see such reorganization would be short, since no new anatomical tracts need to be grown. Interestingly, although there is little evidence of rapid long-range axonal growth, recent studies have indicated that other kinds of structural changes can indeed transpire quickly [114,115]. Indeed, a number of studies have shown functional activity changes in the brain using experimental protocols of short-term sensory deprivation [93,116,117]. A key open question in this context is whether the neuroplastic changes observed in these studies are similar to those found in cases of prolonged sensory loss, as reviewed in the first section (“Cortical Reorganization and Its Conventionally Assumed Purpose”). Similarity between the two would strengthen the case for unmasking of dormant connections as an underlying mechanism for both conditions. Studies using TMS with normal subjects have also provided clues about tasks potentially subserved by pre-existing crossmodal connections [118]. These tasks can serve as targets to determine whether they, differentially more than others, register an enhancement following sensory loss.

Longitudinal studies using varied measures of brain activity and connectivity may help further elucidate neuroplastic changes over time. For example, white matter tractography derived from diffusion-based imaging techniques (such as diffusion tensor imaging or high angular resolution diffusion imaging) can be used to identify underlying white matter structural connectivity profiles [119]. Combined with functional connectivity (as determined from resting state sequences) and effective connectivity (based on task specific activation) analyses, further information can be garnered regarding relative strength of connections across cortical areas [120,121]. Thus, an assessment of connectivity profiles prior to the onset of sensory loss (or specific intervention) and again after the intervention of interest, would allow for comparisons to be made regarding underlying neuroplasticity. Furthermore, associating these network connectivity changes after short and long-term deprivation with changes in behavioral performance may also help in separating the contributions of practice on the one hand and cortical reorganization per se in bringing about these changes on the other hand.

Testing possibility 3: Exploring Whether Cortical Reorganization Is an Adaptation to Mitigate Adverse Physiological Consequences of Sensory Signal Loss

There are several empirical avenues for assessing the validity this idea. First, from the viewpoint that encroaching modalities serve to impose structure on the neural activity of an area, we would expect that if the sensory loss was multimodal, thereby precluding structured signals in any input stream, then paroxysmal activity would arise in sensory cortical areas (although, it is possible that feedback connections may play a role in imposing structured activity in the absence of sensory inputs). In other words, multimodal sensory deprivation would be likely to result in epileptogenic activity. This is a prediction that can be tested directly by chronically recording EEG activity while an animal undergoes an extended period of sensory deprivation. The EEG traces can be analyzed for aberrant signal patterns indicative of seizures or their precursor changes in intrinsic neuronal activity using any of a host of powerful techniques that have been developed for this purpose [122,123]. Interestingly, one of the primary exclusionary criteria for use of sensory deprivation chambers is a predisposition to epilepsy. Also consistent with this idea, neonatal sensory deprivation has been found to be correlated with later petit mal seizures [124]. Intriguingly, there is some evidence that even unimodal sensory deprivation is associated with high incidence of paroxysmal EEG [125].

A second set of studies can examine how the nature of neural activity changes over time after sensory loss. For instance, we can use multielectrode arrays or even scalp-based recordings in
animals to record brain activity after blindness onset. In these recordings, it will be informative to determine whether the visual cortex displays paroxysmal activity in the short term, and then transitions to more structured responses following the same timeline as that over which it comes to exhibit nonvisual responses. Relatedly, a third kind of study can probe whether there may be a causal relationship between paroxysmal activity and cortical encroachment. Does the manifestation of the former in a cortical area serve as an attractor for the latter? One way to probe this question could involve determining whether the cessation of paroxysmal activity in an area, say by pharmacological means such as antiepileptic drugs [126,127], also leads to a reduction in crossmodal encroachment. Although we have focused the discussion above on epileptogenic activity, the ideas also apply to other undesirable consequences such as neural apoptosis that have been seen to follow sensory deafferentation [101,128,129].

Concluding Remarks
In summary, the phenomenology of cortical reorganization following sensory loss is rich and robust. To more comprehensively account for the empirical data, it is worth considering additional possibilities beyond the conventional one of cortical changes as compensatory processes (see Outstanding Questions). The alternative accounts we have presented here serve as experimental targets that define a program of research to understand the reasons for and functional significance of cortical reorganization. Such understanding is of significance both from a basic as well as a translational perspective. Knowing whether cortical reorganization is an inevitable epiphenomenon, serves a compensatory purpose, or is potentially mal-adaptive can dramatically change how we intervene in cases of sensory loss, stroke or traumatic brain injury.
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Outstanding Questions

Why does there exist such a marked discrepancy between the large extent of cortical reorganization and the modest magnitude of performance gains on tasks in the encroaching sensory modality?

Performance on which tasks, if any, underlies the most significant enhancements after loss of one sensory modality?

Is cortical reorganization after sensory loss due primarily to unmasking of pre-existing connections from other sensory modalities? If so, then what is the purpose of these dormant connections in the normal brain?

How does cortical reorganization after short-term sensory loss compare to that arising from congenital sensory loss?

Can paroxysmal activity in a cortical area induce the growth of connections from neighboring areas to mitigate stochastic neural activity?
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